

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,666	-	07/23/2001	Georg Koepff	10191/1893	6421	
26646	7590	11/07/2003		EXAMINER		
	√ & KENY	ON	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T			
	ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 ART UNIT PAPER I				PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3683		
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/912,666	KOEPFF ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Nguyen	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of thing at the control of the	s application. A proper repent which places the application.	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m	,		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the note of the	pire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final reject	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	riod of extension and the correspo te of the shortened statutory perio coeffice later than three months aff	nding amount of the fee. The app of for reply originally set in the fina	propriate extension I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or si	mplifying the

(d) \times they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2nd rejection of claims 4 and 5.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ...

Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.

application in condition for allowance because: . .

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 16 June 2003 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Application No. 09/912,666

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 9 raise a new issue of limiting a speed. New claim 10 is submitted without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim..

XLN

JACK LAVINDER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600